

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/967,305		09/28/2001	Jennifer Richardson	07334-312001 / MPI2000-31	5199
26161	7590	02/23/2006		EXAMI	NER
FISH & RI P.O. BOX 1		SON PC	DAVIS, MIN	IH TAM B	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	- · · · · ·			1642	
				DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006	<b>,</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/967,305	RICHARDSON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	MINH-TAM DAVIS	1642
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report.  Deriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  Oly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on     2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□     3)□ Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice units.	This action is non-final.  lowance except for formal matte	-
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 33,34 and 59-79 is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 33,34 and 59-79 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous the output of the continuous process.	accepted or b) objected to be o the drawing(s) be held in abeyand orrection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B  * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been r ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Profesorous's Patent Proving Review (PTO 94)	4) X Interview Su	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date. <i>02/17/06</i> .
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Accordingly, claims 33-34, 59-79 are being examined.

The following are the remaining rejections.

**OBJECTION** 

Claims 33-34, 59-79 are objected to, because the meaning of the language "prostate tumor cells encoding" a protein having the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:2" in claim 33 is not clear. The language "encoding a protein" is conventionally used in the art, when referring to "a polynucleotide" encoding a polypeptide, in view that a specific DNA molecule carries the genetic information, whereby particular combinations of three adjacent nucleotides in a DNA control the insertion of a particular amino acid in equivalent places in a protein molecule (Stedman's medical dictionary, 25<sup>th</sup> ed, 1990, p.323).

While applicant may be his or her own lexicographer, a term in a claim may not be given a meaning repugnant to the usual meaning of that term, <u>In re Hill</u>, 161 F.2d 367, 73 USPQ 482 (CCPA 1947).

For the purpose of compact prosecution, it is assumed that claim 33 refers to prostate tumor cells overexpressing the nucleotide sequence, SEQ ID NO:3, encoding the protein having the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:2.

Art Unit: 1642

REJECTION UNDER 35 USC 112, FIRST PARAGRAHP, NEW MATTER, NEW

REJECTION

Claims 33-34, 59-79 are rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as the

specification does not contain a written description of the claimed invention.

The limitation of "prostate tumor cells encoding" a protein having the amino acid

sequence of SEQ ID NO:2" claimed in Claims 33-34, 59-79 has no clear support in the

specification and the claims as originally filed.

A review of the specification discloses support for "the nucleotide sequence of

cDNAs encoding human alpha-methylacyl-CoA racemases are shown in SEQ ID NO:1,

3 and 4" (p.2, lines 1-3).

There is nothing in the specification to suggest or to mention obtaining a test

sample comprising "prostate tumor cells encoding" a protein having the amino acid

sequence of SEQ ID NO:2".

The subject matter claimed in claims 33-34, 59-79 broadens the scope of

the invention as originally disclosed in the specification.

**REJECTION UNDER 35 USC 103** 

Claims 33-34, 59-79 remain rejected under 35 uSC 103, as being obvious of US

6,395,278 B1 in view of US 5,968,737, Sambrook et al, and Ramsay et al, for reasons

already of record in paper of 08/25/05.

Applicant argues that nothing in the cited references suggest the use of such

prostate cells, which encode a protein having the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID

Application/Control Number: 09/967,305

Art Unit: 1642

NO:2. Applicant argues that at most US 5,968,737 suggests the use of cells encoding a different protein, in view that the sequence cited in US 5,968,737 encodes a different protein than SEQ ID NO:2.

Applicant arguments in paper of 11/16/05 have been considered but are not found to be persuasive for the following reasons:

For the purpose of compact prosecution, it is assumed that claim 33 refers to prostate tumor cells overexpressing the nucleotide sequence, SEQ ID NO:3, encoding the protein having the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:2.

The specification discloses overexpression of SEQ ID NO:3 encoding SEQ ID NO:2 in prostate tumor tissue (Example 1, on p.12-14, and Tables 1-4).

There is nothing in the specification that teaches that there exists a specific population of prostate tumor tissues that do not overexpress SEQ ID NO:3 encoding SEQ ID NO:2, and which are not used in the assay for identifying candidate therapeutic agents.

The prostate tumor cells for use in the claimed method seem to be the same the prostate tumor cells used by US 6,395,278 B1.

Although the reference does not specifically teach that the prostate tumor cells encode a protein having the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:2, however, the prostate tumor cells for use in the claimed method appear to be the same as the prior art prostate cancer cells, absent a showing of unobvious differences. The office does not have the facilities and resources to provide the factual evidence needed in order to establish that the product of the prior art does not possess the same material, structural

Art Unit: 1642

and functional characteristics of the claimed product. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, the burden is on the applicant to prove that the claimed product is different from those taught by the prior art and to establish patentable differences. See In re Best 562F.2d 1252, 195 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1977) and Ex parte Gray 10 USPQ 2d 1922 (PTO Bd. Pat. App. & Int. 1989).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MINH-TAM DAVIS whose telephone number is 571-272-0830. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JEFFREY SIEW can be reached on 571-272-0787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/967,305

Art Unit: 1642

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MINH TAM DAVIS

February 17, 2006

Susan Ungar Susan Ungar Primary Patent Examiner